List of cases assessed by Croatian Competition Agency in 2005 in the field of antitrust - SUBSTANTIVE

No	Decision No	Date of decision	Title (including parties and sector)	Type: restrictive agreement (vertical/horizontal)/ abuse of dominance/ merger/advocacy	Description of practice or operation	Relevant market's) and approximate market shares	Notification/ complaint/ own initiative/ consultation of draft law	Decision: exemption/ negative clearance/ rejection of complaint/ prohibition (fines)/ approval (conditional)	Details of assessment	Fine	Appeal	Comments
1	UP/I 030-02/2003-01/102	23.3.2005	NAVISION HRVATSKA d.o.o., Zagreb, and MICROSOFT HRVATSKA d.o.o., Zagreb	**Agreement** of transfer in relation to distribution business	transfer of property	IT business; Croatia; 7%	Notification	Negative clearance decision				
2	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/05	15.9.2005	CROATIAN COMPETITION AGENCY, Zagreb, vs. TRADEXCO d.o.o., Sveta Nedjelja, and other 59 undertakings	Restrictive agreement	trade agreement	wholesale sector of sportswear; Croatia	Own initiative	Prohibition decision-prohibited agreement				
3	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/38	4.10.2005	MAGNAT j.t.d., Osijek, vs. KOLNOA d.o.o., Zagreb and P.Z. AUTO d.o.o., Velika Gorica	Restrictive agreement	exclusive purchase agreement	sales of gear shift mechanical blocks/ Croatia; Kolnoa: 80-90%	Notification	Prohibition decision-prohibited agreement		Pursuant to the decision of the Agency upon violation of the provisions of this Act, the Agency made a claim to the magistrate court to start the minor offence proceedings against the undertaking concerned and the responsible person of the respective undertaking (Art 60 of CA).	YES	Appeal against the Prohibition Decision of the Agency was filed at Administrative Court on November 28, 2005. Agency submitted the answer to the complaint at the Administrative Court on December 20, 2005
4	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/95	4.10.2005	CROATIAN MINE ACTION CENTER (HCR), Sisak, vs. 17 DEMINING UNDERTAKINGS	Cartel agreement	Series of legal acts (Protocol on protective prices and predetermined contractors, Statement on compliance with predetermined protective prices, non-provision of capacities and bills of exchange, Agreement on deposit and safeguarding of bills of exchange - entered into on 27 December 2002)	humanitarian demining; Croatia	Notification	Prohibition decision-prohibited agreement				
5	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/70	15.12.2005	CROATIAN COMPETITION AGENCY, Zagreb, vs. TISAK d.d., Zagreb and DISTRI-PRESS d.o.o., Zagreb - press distributors agreements	Restrictive agreement	1.purchase/selling price fixing; 2. limitation of the market; 3. sharing of the market	press distribution (press wholesale market); Croatia	Own initiative	Prohibition decision-prohibited agreement		Pursuant to the decision of the Agency upon violation of the provisions of this Act, the Agency made a claim to the magistrate court to start the minor offence proceedings against the undertaking concerned and the responsible person of the respective undertaking (Art 60 of CA).		
6	UP/I 030-02/2003-01/31	24.5.2005	MONDICOM d.d., Sveta Nedjelja, vs. FAIRTRADE d.o.o., Zagreb	**Abuse of dominance and restrictive practices**	applying dissimilar conditions to equivalent transactions with other trading parties, thereby placing them at a competitive disadvantage	wholesale of toothpaste; Croatia	Own initiative	Decision on rejection of request				
7	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/66	20.10.2005	CROATIAN UNION OF ASSOCIATIONS FOR CONSUMER PROTECTION, Zagreb, vs. PONIKVE d.o.o., Krk	Abuse of dominance and restrictive practices	applying dissimilar conditions to equivalent transactions with other trading parties, thereby placing them at a competitive disadvantage	water supply; Island of Krk; 100%	Notification	Prohibition decision - Decision on abuse of a dominant position (water supply tariffs)		Pursuant to the decision of the Agency upon violation of the provisions of this Act, the Agency made a claim to the magistrate court to start the minor offence proceedings against the undertaking concerned and the responsible person of the respective undertaking (Art 60 of CA).		
8	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/66	25.12.2005	VIDEO KLUB "EXCLUSIVE" Trade craft, Split and VIDEO PIKI Trade craft, Zagreb, T.B.M. 93 d.o.o., Zagreb, vs. BLITZ d.o.o., Zagreb	Abuse of dominance and restrictive practices	applying dissimilar conditions to equivalent transactions with other trading parties, thereby placing them at a competitive disadvantage	retail of video media (videotapes, DVD's); Croatia	Notification	1) Decision on rejection of request regarding undertakings EXCLUSIVE Trade Craft and VIDEO PIKI Trade Craft 2) Procedural order on termination (undertaking T.B.M. 93 d.o.o. witdraw the request)				
9	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/100	10.2.2005	BILLA NEKRETNINE d.o.o., Zagreb / MINACO d.o.o., Našice	Merger	acquisition of majority of share capital	retail trade in groceries, predominantly food, beverages and sanitary products; ; Croatia; 5-10%	Notification	Notice of approval of concentration (in first phase)				
10	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/01	23.3.2005	ERA TORNADO d.o.o., Samobor / TRGOHIT d.o.o., Čakovec	Merger	acquisition of majority of share capital	retail trade in groceries, predominantly food, beverages and sanitary products; Croatia; 0-5%	Notification	Notice of approval of concentration (in first phase)				
11	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/33	4.10.2005	VEČERNJI LIST d.d., Zagreb / G+J INTERNATIONAL PUBLISHING HOLDING GmbH, Austria	Merger	joint venture	publishing; Croatia	Notification	Notice of approval of concentration (in first phase)				
12	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/40	15.12.2005	MERCATOR - H d.o.o.., Velika Gorica / ERA TORNADO d.o.o., Samobor and TRGOHIT d.o.o., Čakovec	Merger	acquisition of majority of share capital	retail trade in groceries, predominantly food, beverages and sanitary products; Croatia; 5-10%	Notification	Notice of approval of concentration (in first phase)				
13	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/48	30.11.2005	STYRIA MEDIA INTERNATIONAL AG, Austria / X-PRESS d.o.o., Zagreb	Merger	acquisition of majority of share capital	market of general information daily newspapers, market of press distribution (press wholesale market), market of advertising in general information dailies	Notification	Notice of approval of concentration (in first phase)				

List of cases assessed by Croatian Competition Agency in 2005 in the field of antitrust - SUBSTANTIVE

No	Decision No	Date of decision	Title (including parties and sector)	Type: restrictive agreement (vertical/horizontal)/ abuse of dominance/ merger/advocacy	Description of practice or operation	Relevant market's) and approximate market shares	Notification/ complaint/ own initiative/ consultation of draft law	Decision: exemption/ negative clearance/ rejection of complaint/ prohibition (fines)/ approval (conditional)	Details of assessment	Fine	Appeal	Comments
14	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/91	28.12.2005	AGROKOR d.d., Zagreb / BELJE d.d., Darda	Merger	acquisition of majority of share capital	wholesale of baby beef /pork meet and meat products; retail trade in groceries, predominantly food, beverages and sanitary products	Notification	Notice of approval of concentration (in second phase)				
15	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/17	12.1.2005	DISTRI-PRESS d.o.o., Zagreb / IVAN GRANIĆ, Zagreb, VELTRADE d.o.o., Zagreb and ADRIS GRUPA d.d., Rovinj	Merger	acquisition of majority of share capital	market of press distribution (press wholesale market), Croatia:85-90%, market of advertising in general information dailies, Croatia: 35-40%	Own initiative	Decision on **conditional approval** of the concentration (in the second phase)				
16	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/90	28.12.2005	AGROKOR d.d., Zagreb / PIK VRBOVEC d.d. Vrbovec	Merger	acquisition of majority of share capital	retail and wholesale of baby-beef/pork meat; retail trade in groceries, predominantly food, beverages and sanitary products	Notification	Decision on conditional approval of the concentration (in the second phase)	Agency has ordered to the undertaking AGROKOR to sell several retail stores in Zagrebačka county (Vrbovec, Lijevo Sredičko, Jastrebarsko) and Varaždinska county (City of Varaždin): dead-line: 15 June 2006			
17	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/81	3.3.2005	CITY RADIO "RADIO 042" d.o.o., Varaždin / MEDIJSKI SERVISI d.o.o., Varaždin	**Merger according to the Electronic Media Act***	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Varaždinska County	Nofitication	Letter of Comfort				
18	UP/I 030-02/2004-01/84	23.3.2005	MORSKI ZVUK d.o.o., Split	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Splitsko-dalmatinska County	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
19	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/13	24.5.2005	TELEVIZIJA SLJEME d.o.o., Zagreb	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast TV programms; City of Zagreb and Zagrebačka county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
20	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/12	24.5.2005	TELEVIZIJA SLAVONIJE I BARANJE d.o.o.; Osijek	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast TV programms; Osječko-baranjska county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
21	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/14	24.5.2005	RTL TELEVIZIJA d.o.o., Zagreb	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast TV programms,Croatia: 25-30%	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
22	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/24	29.6.2005	RADIO ĐAKOVO d.o.o.; Đakovo	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Osječko-baranjska county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
23	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/25	29.6.2005	RADIO CROATIA d.o.o., Zagreb	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; City of Zagreb and Zagrebačka county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
24	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/27	29.6.2005	OBITELJSKI RADIO d.o.o., Zagreb	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; City of Zagreb and Zagrebačka county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
25	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/22	29.6.2005	PRO RADIO d.o.o. za radio i televiziju, Dubrovnik	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Dubrovačko-neretvanska county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
26	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/20	29.6.2005	JANUS d.o.o., Osijek	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Osječko-baranjska county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
27	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/23	29.6.2005	RADIO MREŽNICA d.o.o., Duga Resa	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Karlovačka county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
28	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/32	25.7.2005	RADIO SISAK d.o.o., Sisak	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Sisačko-moslavačka county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
29	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/28	25.7.2005	RADIO POSTAJA NEDELIŠĆE d.o.o., Nedelišće	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Varaždinska and Međimurska county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
30	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/26	25.7.2005	RADIO VELIKA GORICA d.o.o., Velika Gorica	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Zagrebačka county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
31	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/21	25.7.2005	RADIO ZLATAR d.o.o., Zlatar	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Krapinsko-zagorska county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				

List of cases assessed by Croatian Competition Agency in 2005 in the field of antitrust - SUBSTANTIVE

No	Decision No	Date of decision	Title (including parties and sector)	Type: restrictive agreement (vertical/horizontal)/ abuse of dominance/ merger/advocacy	Description of practice or operation	Relevant market's) and approximate market shares	Notification/ complaint/ own initiative/ consultation of draft law	Decision: exemption/ negative clearance/ rejection of complaint/ prohibition (fines)/ approval (conditional)	Details of assessment	Fine	Appeal	Comments
32	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/29	1.9.2005	RADIO POSTAJA PLOČE d.o.o., Ploče	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Dubrovačko-neretvanska county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
33	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/44	2.11.2005	RADIO KAŠTELA d.o.o., Kaštel Novi	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast radio programms; Splitsko-dalmatinska County	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
34	UP/I 030-02/2005-01/44	2.11.2005	TELEVIZIJA MOSLAVINA d.o.o., Kutina	Merger according to the Electronic Media Act*	acquisition of majority of share capital	production of broadcast TV programms; Sisačko-moslavačka county	Notification	Letter of Comfort				
35	011-01/2004-01/11	23.3.2005	CROATIAN EMPLOYER ASSOCIATION, Zagreb: request for opinion on amendments to the Draft Postal Act	**Advocacy - draft legislation**	regulation of the postal sector (courier services)		Consultation on draft law	The Agency determined the proposed amendments of the Postal Act were in compliance with the CA provisions.				
36	011-01/2005-01/7	23.3.2005	MINISTRY OF FINANCE: request for opinion on Draft proposal to Decision of standard methodology for financial effect assessment	Advocacy - draft legislation			Consultation on draft law	The Agency determined that Draft proposal is in compliance with the CA provisions, but minor ammendments have to be made.				
37	011-01/2005-01/8	23.3.2005	MINISTRY OF THE SEE, TOURISM, TRANSPORT AND DEVELOPMENT: request for opinion on amendments to the Draft Telecommunication Act	Advocacy - draft legislation	regulation of the telecommunication services		Consultation on draft law	The Agency determined that Draft proposal in question is in compliance with the CA provisions.				
38	011-01/2005-01/10	5.5.2005	MINISTRY OF FINANCE: request for opinion on amendments to the Public Procurement Act	Advocacy - draft legislation	various of goods/services		Consultation on draft law	The Agency determined the proposed amendments to the Public Procurement Act were in compliance with the CA provisions.				
39	011-02/2005-01/11	24.5.2005	MINISTRY OF THE SEE, TOURISM, TRANSPORT AND DEVELOPMENT: request for opinion on Postal Act and on amendments to the Draft Postal Act	Advocacy - draft legislation	regulation of the postal sector (courier services)		Consultation on draft law	The Agency proposed to the Ministry, with regards to EC Directive No 2002/39, to consider a possibility to enter a new definition of "reserved postal services" regarding weight of shipmment.				
40	011-01/2005-01/12	10.6.2005	MINISTRY OF THE SEE, TOURISM, TRANSPORT AND DEVELOPMENT: request for opinion on amendments to the Final Draft Postal Act	Advocacy - draft legislation	regulation of the postal sector (courier services)		Consultation on draft law	The Agency determined the proposed amendments of the Postal Act were in compliance with the CA provisions; no new comments in addition.				
41	011-02/2005-01/14	25.7.2005 and 4.10.2005	MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, LABOR AND ENTERPRENEUSHIP: request for opinion on Draft Act of General terms for electricity supply	Advocacy - draft legislation	regulation of the electricity sector		Consultation on draft law	The Agency determined the Draft proposal of the General terms for electricitry supply were in compliance with the CA provisions.				
42	011-02/2005-01/16	15.9.2005	MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR: request for opinion on Draft proposal of the maintenance and selection of fire-protection device	Advocacy - draft legislation	maintenance of the fire-protection device		Consultation on draft law	Expert opinion	In it's opinion Agency statet that the fire extinguisher manufacturers are given too much power with regard to setting the technical standards that the fire extinguisher service providers must comply with, and regarding the prior approval of the Ministry of the Interior which is to be given to the service providers in question for their operations. The Agency suggested to the competent Ministry that it should revise the provisions in question which actually enable the manufacturers concerned to control access to the market of fire extinguishers on the account of the fact that they operate at the same time as a kind of a regulator and as a competitor therein.			
43	011-01/2005-01/18	30.11.2005	MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, LABOUR AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP: request for opinion on Draft Trading Act	Advocacy - draft legislation	retail sector		Consultation on draft law	Expert opinion	Agency stated in it's opinion, with respect to the provisions relating to unfair competition (especially the one prohibiting the sales of goods for lower than the purchase price) that such sales may be prohibited only if such an activity is carried out over a longer period of time and without justifiable economic reasons.			
44	031-01/2005-01/1	12.1.2005	NATURAL manufacturing and trading craft, Zagreb: request for opinion on Public tender invitation by Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management	Advocacy		exclusive production of ear stamp cattle marking	Consultation of public tender	Expert opinion	Agency stated that the public tender of the Ministry put some undertaking (crafts) in the unfavorable position towards other undertakings (legal entities), and proposed a change of restrictive provision of the public tender in question.			This opinion was delivered to the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management

List of cases assessed by Croatian Competition Agency in 2005 in the field of antitrust - SUBSTANTIVE

No	Decision No	Date of decision	Title (including parties and sector)	Type: restrictive agreement (vertical/horizontal)/ abuse of dominance/ merger/advocacy	Description of practice or operation	Relevant market's) and approximate market shares	Notification/ complaint/ own initiative/ consultation of draft law	Decision: exemption/ negative clearance/ rejection of complaint/ prohibition (fines)/ approval (conditional)	Details of assessment	Fine	Appeal	Comments
45	031-02/2004-01/135	10.2.2005	JURIS PROTECTA - the association for the enhancement of the rule of law in Croatia, Zagreb: request for opinion of monopolization of taxi transport service	Advocacy	high prices and limited access to the taxi service market; City of Zagreb		Consultation of Law and by-law	Expert opinion	The Agency issued its opinion stated that the decision made by the City of Zagreb which regulates the system and manner of granting taxi licences for the provision of taxi services and related issues concerning the operational activities within the taxi services in the city of Zagreb, contains a number of provisions that foreclose the market in question and which are contrary to competition principles and rules and thus prevent the development of taxi transport based on market principles and contribute to uncompetitive ness and inefficiency within the market in question. In addition, the situation on the taxi transport market subsequently does not produce a share benefit for the consumers and restricts the market entry for potential operators.			The Agency considers that the existing organization of taxi transport in the City of Zagreb does not allow the undertakings to enter this market under competitive conditions which would subsequently lead to cuts in prices for taxi services and quality improvements. The Agency holds the view that the existing legislation in the field of taxi transport should be changed as to ensure all undertakings free access and operation on the market concerned, provided that the taxi operators meet certain standards concerning the services they provide with the view to quality maintenance and customers' safety. Furthermore, special attention should be paid to determination of prices for taxi services, which under no conditions may be put under the jurisdiction of an association or associations representing the interests of service providers and thereby not taking into account the interest of the consumers.
46	031-01/2004-01/104	10.2.2005	MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, LABOR AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP: request for opinion of selling of books together with the daily newspapers.	Advocacy	tying arrangements		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion stating that mentioned activity cannot be considered as tying and it does not produce significant anti-competitive effects. On contraty, this kind of sales brings benefit for the consumers, because that the books are more available to final consumers by lower prices than in bookstores, as well as by the accessibility of outlets (newspaper stands) where the above mentioned books are sold. Moreover, such sales of books has been commercial practice in some EU countries such as Italy, Poland, Germany etc.			
47	031-01/2005-01/05	3.3.2005	MAGMA d.d., Zagreb: request for opinion on Draft Sales Agreement	Advocacy	tying arrangements	wholesale sector of sportswear; Croatia	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	The Agency issued its opinion stated that some of the provisions were not in compliance with CA provisions and Agency initiated proceedings against undertaking Tradexco (see case no 2)			
48	031-01/2005-01/03	3.3.2005	CROATIAN COMPETITION AGENCY: initiative for Amendments of the Telecommunications Act	Advocacy		telecommunication services; Croatia	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	The Agency issued the Initiative on the Amendments to the Telecommunications Act which has been submitted to the Ministry of the Sea, Tourism, Transport and Development, as sponsor. Given that the existing Telecommunications Act does not clearly devides the jurisdiction of the Croatian Telecommunications Agency and the Competition Agency, which could possibly lead to conflict of jurisdiction in this area, the Competition Agency proposed the amendments to the Telecommunications Act in order to avoid the inconsistency concerning the jurisdiction in the application of the Act concerned in the part relating to competition.			The Initiative in question is based on the experience of the EU Member States in the application of competition rules in this area and the view of the European Commission underlying the fact that competition issues in the Republic of Croatia involving all sectors should be covered by one authority of general jurisdiction, in this particular case by the Competition Agency, thereby ensuring the fair and effective competition within all sectors and legal certainty of the undertakings. Amendments to the Telecommunications Act was passed in the Parliament with included proposed changes, and it was published in Oficial Gazette no 70/2005 (8 June 2005), and entered into force on 16 June 2005.
49	031-01/2005-01/07	3.3.2005	CROATIACEMENT, g.i.u., Zagreb: request for opinion on compatibility of statistic and informatic business with the provisions of the CA	Advocacy	Agreement of joint information service	cement industry; Croatia	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion in which stated that the provisions of the statistic and informatic business of the Croaticement (business association of cement producers) are compatible with the provisions of the CA provisions.			
50	031-01/2005-01/18	23.3.2005	MINISTRY OF INTERIOR: Bylaw on the network and criteria of sufficient number of driving schools	Advocacy	Setting the minimum prices	driving school service; Croatia	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued opinion stating that Article 2 of the Bylaw containts provisions (qualitative criteria) that can prevent, restrict or distort the competition.			Agency submitted its opinion to the Ministry of Interior.
51	031-01/2004-01/143	23.3.2005	KREŠIMIR JANACH, Zagreb: request for opinion on Bylaw of means and terms of settlement of accounts & payment extra fee for environmental protection for motor vehicles	Advocacy			Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued opinion stating that provisions of Bylaw in question do not prevent, restrict or distort the competition.			
52	031-01/2005-01/13	23.3.2005	CROATIAN UNION OF ASSOCIATIONS FOR CONSUMER PROTECTION, Zagreb: request for interpretation of the CA provisions	Advocacy	donations to the CLINICAL HOSPITAL SPLIT through the purchase of the products		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency explained that the notice on products that indicates that by purchasing of that good, part of the price shall be donated to the CLINICAL HOSPITAL SPLIT, is compatible to the provisions of the CA provisions.			

List of cases assessed by Croatian Competition Agency in 2005 in the field of antitrust - SUBSTANTIVE

No	Decision No	Date of decision	Title (including parties and sector)	Type: restrictive agreement (vertical/horizontal)/ abuse of dominance/ merger/advocacy	Description of practice or operation	Relevant market's) and approximate market shares	Notification/ complaint/ own initiative/ consultation of draft law	Decision: exemption/ negative clearance/ rejection of complaint/ prohibition (fines)/ approval (conditional)	Details of assessment	Fine	Appeal	Comments
					applying dissimilar conditions to equivalent transactions with other trading parties, thereby placing them at a competitive disadvantage							
53	031-01/2004-01/93	23.3.2005	Anonymous notification - complaint of activity of the Ministry of science, education and sport: "Lets reward excellent scolars"	Advocacy			Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion in which stated that activity of the Ministry is compatible with the CA provisions.			
54	031-01/2005-01/14	15.4.2005	CONSUMER PROTECTION ASSOCIATION "DALMATINSKI POTROŠAČ", Split: request for opinion on the Croatian Radiotelevision Act (radio and television device fee)	Advocacy	Payment of the fee		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion in which stated that provisions of Law in question which regulate obligation to pay radio and television fee do not prevent, restrict or distort the competition.			
55	031-01/2005-01/10	15.4.2005	PRICES OF DRIVING SCHOOLS: request for opinion on the Bylaw of the price for the minimum elements of calculation driving school	Advocacy	Setting the minimum prices		Consultation of By-law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion explaining Articles in question ions of Bylaw in question ("Official Gazette" No 187/04) which regulate minimum price of driving school services, are not in compliance with CA, because those provisions restrain the competition between competitors.			Act on safety on roads ("Official Gazette" No 105/04), which regulate the issue in question, has not given the authorisation to prescribe min. price/hour for the drivings schools, but only minimum elements of calculation of the price/hour.
56	031-01/2005-01/15	15.4.2005	ASSOCIATION OF CROATIAN INDEPENDENT TRAVEL AGENCIES, Zagreb: request for interpretation of Art 7 para 1, 4 and 6 of the CA	Advocacy	Definition of the relevant market		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion explaining invited Articles in question, and in addition to that invited the Claimant to submit request for the *Institution of the Proceedings*, if he has some evidence that this is prohibited agreement case.			
57	031-01/2005-01/30	5.5.2005	LAW OFFICE ŽURIĆ AND PARTNERS, Zagreb: request for intepretation of provision of Regulation on Block Exemption Granted to Agreements on Distribution and Servicing of Motor Vehicles	Advocacy	Block exemptions		Consultation of by-law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion explaining the provisions of the Regulation in question, Art 10 para 1 point b) and Art 14 para 4 point b)			
58	031-01/2005-01/10	24.5.2005	CIGLANE ZAGREB d.d., Zagreb: request for opinion	Advocacy	Setting the locations for retail sales	retail sector/Zagreb	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued the opinion that operating in the real estate property for rent, relating to the Decision on the amendments to the Decision issued by the City of Zagreb concerning outside retail sales outlets and corner sales, does fall under the competence of the City of Zagreb which within its competence may prescribe the locations on which retail sales outside the sales outlets and corner sales may be performed according to the provisions of Article 15 paragraph (3) of the Trade Act (Official Gazette No 49/03, consolidated version).			In its opinion the Agency stated that in order to ensure a higher level of transparency in the process of adoption of the aforementioned Decision the view of the undertakings which may be prohibited any further operations in the real estate property for rent should have been taken into account in the process of adoption of the Decision in question.
59	031-01/2005-01/47	10.6.2005	CENTRAL GOVERNMENT OFFICE FOR e-CROATIA, Zagreb: request for opinion	Advocacy	public procurement tender		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that, from the competition point of view - an open-tendering procedure would be more appropriate rather then *direct settlement* (as in this case)			The purpose of this direct settlement is implementation of the "Project of integrated hospital system informatisation"
60	031-01/2005-01/39	10.6.2005	CITROEN d.o.o., Zagreb: request for intepretation of provision of Regulation on Block Exemption Granted to Agreements on Distribution and Servicing of Motor Vehicles	Advocacy	Block exemptions		Consultation of By-law	Expert opinion	Agency issued opinion in which explained relevant provisions of the of Regulation on Block Exemption Granted to Agreements on Distribution and Servicing of Motor Vehicles.			
61	031-01/2005-01/40	10.6.2005	PBZ d.d., Zagreb: request for interpretation of agreements provision	Advocacy	unification of banking card business		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that agreement in question do not need to be submitted to the Agency for assessment			
62	031-01/2005-01/57	25.7.2005	CONSUMER PROTECTION ASSOCIATIONS, Zagreb: request for opinion on Bridge tolls and toll collection system on Krk bridge	Advocacy	One time payment		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that the Decision in question does not entail the abuse of dominance of the undertaking Hrvatske autoceste d.o.o.			Obligation for Users of the Krk bridge (drivers) to pay *return ticket* at once (on arrival to the Island of Krk), despite the fact that Users can use ferry in return from the island.
63	031-01/2005-01/61	25.7.2005	CROATIAN CHAMBER OF CRAFTSMEN - CHAMBER OF ISTARSKA COUNTY, Pula: request for opinion on the Proposal for granting toll rebates for the passage through the tunnel of Učka to Istrian road carriers	Advocacy	applying dissimilar conditions to equivalent transactions with other trading parties, thereby placing them at a competitive disadvantage		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	The Agency issued the opinion that the measure in question would lead to prevention, restriction and distortion of competition and as such contravenes the provisions of Article 9 paragraph (1) item 4 of the CA, which prohibits the agreements between undertakings which apply dissimilar conditions to equivalent transactions with other undertakings, thereby placing them at a competitive disadvantage. The measure in question would *unfairly* put the undertakings which do not have their place of establishment in the territory of Istria and perform the same carrier activities from other parts of the Republic of Croatia into the Istrian County at a competitive disadvantage and possibly lead to market foreclosure in the provision of carrier services.			The measure in question consists of the rebate scheme based on the place of establishment of the undertakings concerned and on the so called "*negative" volume rebates* . According to the scheme the concession holder Bina Istra d.d. would grant higher tunnel passage rebates to the undertakings from Istria which realize fewer passages through the tunnel, in comparison to those who realize more passages through the same tunnel.

List of cases assessed by Croatian Competition Agency in 2005 in the field of antitrust - SUBSTANTIVE

No	Decision No	Date of decision	Title (including parties and sector)	Type: restrictive agreement (vertical/horizontal)/ abuse of dominance/ merger/advocacy	Description of practice or operation	Relevant market's) and approximate market shares	Notification/ complaint/ own initiative/ consultation of draft law	Decision: exemption/ negative clearance/ rejection of complaint/ prohibition (fines)/ approval (conditional)	Details of assessment	Fine	Appeal	Comments
64	031-01/2005-01/41	25.7.2005	Trade craft "SPIRIT OF MUSIC", Zagreb: request for opinion on "Decision on Intelectual property right protection measures" of Custom Administration	Advocacy	parallel import		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that there is no obstacle for parallel import for the products that on the teritory that already have been given to the exclusive distributor for the same type of the product.			
65	031-01/2005-01/42	25.7.2005	DINATON MEDIA d.o.o., Zagreb: request for opinion on "Decision on Intelectual property right protection measures" of Custom Administration	Advocacy	parallel import		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that there is no obstacle for parallel import for the products that on the teritory that already have been given to the exclusive distributor for the same type of the product.			
66	031-01/2005-01/37	25.7.2005	SVIJET MEDIJA d.o.o., Zagreb: request for opinion on Bylaw of conditions of placing into market, operation and utilisation of radio, telecommunication termal equipment ("Official Gazette" No 5/05)	Advocacy	bariers for entry		Consultation of by-law	Expert opinion	The Agency found that the provisions of the Bylaw in question are in compliance with CA provisions.			
67	031-01/2005-01/65	1.9.2005	TIMAREX d.o.o., Osijek: request for opinion on definition of parallel import	Advocacy	parallel import		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained there is no impediment to parallel imports of the products for resale in the geographic market allocated to an exclusive distributor for the same type of products. Thus, exclusive distribution agreement concluded with an undertaking and covering the territory of the Republic of Croatia does not prevent other undertakings to purchase the same type of products from the producers or other undertakings abroad, for resale in the territory of Croatia, alongside with the exclusive distributor.			
68	031-01/2005-01/65	1.9.2005	MOTO-HOL d.o.o.d.o.o., Osijek: request for opinion (prevention of competition)	Advocacy	parallel import		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained there is no impediment to parallel imports of the products for resale in the geographic market allocated to an exclusive distributor for the same type of products. Thus, exclusive distribution agreement concluded with an undertaking and covering the territory of the Republic of Croatia does not prevent other undertakings to purchase the same type of products from the producers or other undertakings abroad, for resale in the territory of Croatia, alongside with the exclusive distributor.			
69	031-01/2005-01/70	2.11.2005	VIPNET d.o.o., Zagreb, vs. T-MOBILE HRVATSKA d.o.o., Zagreb: opinion on possible abuse of dominance	Advocacy	tariff model "Simpa profil" (extra bonus for customer)			Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained to the Claimant that new tariff model in question - under assumption of determination of all relevant fact - *could be considered* as an abuse of the dominant position			
70	031-01/2005-01/75	4.10.2005	CROATIAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS AGENCY, Zagreb: request for opinion on the approval of the prices and conditions for users' packages in public fixed voice telephony of the HT d.d., Zagreb	Advocacy	Setting the prices for users packages	public fixed voice telephony service (business packages Business 100 and Business 500)	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that such an approval may, within the meaning of competition rules, have the effects of entry barriers to the new competitors relating to the provision of services in fixed public network.			
71	031-01/2005-01/79	20.10.2005	VIPNET d.o.o., Zagreb: request for interpretation of CA provisions	Advocacy	request on obligation to notify the concentration		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that the internal transactions among connected undertakings are not considered as merger, and therefore there is no obligation for parties to notify the Agency.			
72	031-01/2005-01/78	20.10.2005	CROATIAN ASSOCIATION OF AUTHORIZED CONCESSIONAIRE OF CITROEN VEHICLES, Split: request for opinion	Advocacy	applying dissimilar conditions to equivalent transactions with other trading parties, thereby placing them at a competitive disadvantage		Consultation of Law and by-law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that determination of the conditions (size, equipped and infrastructure) in order to select authorised distributor should be applied in unique, non-discriminatory and transparent way for all undertakings.			
73	031-01/2005-01/94	30.11.2005	Attorney MARA OSTOJIĆ, Zagreb: request for opinion	Advocacy	Block exemptions		Consultation of Law and by-law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained provisions of Regulatioon Block Exemption Granted to Agreements on Distribution and Servicing of Motor Vehicles.			
74	031-01/2005-01/97	15.12.2005	Attorney ANTONIJA VLAŠIĆ BABIĆ, Zagreb: request for opinion (wholesale agreement-rebates)	Advocacy	Block exemptions		Consultation of Law and by-laws	Expert opinion	Agency issued opinon that provisions of the agreement in question are not in compliance with Art 9 para 1 point 1 of CA, Art 9 para 1 subpara a) of *Regulation on Block Exemption granted to Certain Categories of Vertical Agreements, and provision* of Art 8 para 1 subpara a) of *Regulation on Agreements of Minor Importance*			

List of cases assessed by Croatian Competition Agency in 2005 in the field of antitrust - SUBSTANTIVE

No	Decision No	Date of decision	Title (including parties and sector)	Type: restrictive agreement (vertical/horizontal)/ abuse of dominance/ merger/advocacy	Description of practice or operation	Relevant market's) and approximate market shares	Notification/ complaint/ own initiative/ consultation of draft law	Decision: exemption/ negative clearance/ rejection of complaint/ prohibition (fines)/ approval (conditional)	Details of assessment	Fine	Appeal	Comments
75	031-01/2005-01/102	15.12.2005	CROATIAN ASSOCIATION OF CAR BUSINESS, Zagreb: request for opinion	Advocacy	unification of prices		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued opinion that the measure in question would lead to prevention, restriction and distortion of competition.			
76	031-01/2005-01/101	15.12.2005	CROATIAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, Zagreb: request for opinion	Advocacy		security services	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued opinion that the measure in question would not lead to prevention, restriction and distortion of competition.			
77	031-01/2005-01/106	15.12.2005	MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, LABOR AND ENTERPRENEUSHIP: reguest for opinion	Advocacy	new undertaking is offering lower prices	photo services	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued opinion the entering the market by the any new undertaking would not lead to prevention, restriction and distortion of competition.			
78	031-01/2005-01/111	28.12.2005	GLAXO GROUP LIMITED, England / PLIVA ISTRAŽIVAČKI INSTITUT d.o.o., Zagreb: request for interpretation of CA provisions	Advocacy	request on obligation to notify the concentration	R&D - pharmaceutical sector	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that there is obligation to notify the concentration.			
79	031-01/2005-01/112	28.12.2005	LAW OFFICE "MARKOVIĆ & PLIŠO", Zagreb: request for the interpretation of the CA provisions	Advocacy	potential concentration	unknown	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained the provisions of CA which regulate the concentration.			
80	031-01/2005-01/108	28.12.2005	SHOPING CENTAR d.o.o., Zagreb: request for opinion on Agreement provisions	Advocacy	restrictive conditions		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued opinon that provisions of the agreement in question are not in compliance with CA provisions.			
81	031-01/2004-01/65	28.12.2005	ZOP-TEHNOLOŠKE USLUGE d.o.o., Rijeka, vs. PASTOR d.d., Zagreb: request for opinion on possible abuse of dominance	Advocacy	abuse of dominant position	maintenance of fire-protection device	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that there is no abuse of dominance.			
82	031-01/2004-01/105	28.12.2005	RUTING d.o.o., Kastav: request for interpretation of the provisions of Regulation on block exemption granted to agreements on distribution and servicing of motor vehicles	Advocacy	explanation of the provisions of Regulation		Consultation of By-law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained provisions of Regulatioon Block Exemption Granted to Agreements on Distribution and Servicing of Motor Vehicles.			
83	031-01/2004-01/110	28.12.2005	MAN IMPORTER HRVATSKA d.o.o., Zagreb: interpretation of Regulation on block exemption granted to agreements on distribution and servicing of motor vehicles	Advocacy	explanation of the provisions of Regulation		Consultation of By-law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained provisions of Regulation Block Exemption Granted to Agreements on Distribution and Servicing of Motor Vehicles.			
84	031-01/2005-01/49	20.6.2005	ISTRACOMMERCE d.d., Pazin: request for interpretation of CA provisions	Advocacy	exclusive distribution and parallel import		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that there is no obstacle for parallel import for the products that on the teritory that already have been given to the exclusive distributor for the same type of the product.			
85	031-01/2005-01/63	28.7.2005	CROATIAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS AGENCY: request for opinion	Advocacy	fixed telephony service		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Undertaking VIN NET d.o.o., Zagreb had intention to enter into the fixed telephony network market.			
86	031-01/2005-01/75	11.10.2005	CROATIAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS AGENCY: request for opinion	Advocacy	fixed telephony service		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Undertaking T-COM d.o.o., Zagreb submited to the Croatian Telecommunications Agency request for approval of new prices.			
87	031-01/2005-01/91	15.11.2005	POSLOVNI TJEDNIK "LIDER", Zagreb: request on interpretation of Public Procurament Office Decision	Advocacy	procurament of IT equipment		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Possibility of Public Procurement Office to grant exeption from regular procurement procedure (direct purchase instead of public procurement procedure) is based on provisions of Public Procuremenet Act, and CCA can not interpret it.	However, from the competition point of view, exceptions from the Public Procurement Procedure should be exemptions		
88	031-01/2005-01/92	16.11.2005	NOVI SINDIKAT, Zagreb: request for opinion	Advocacy	trade union issue (termination of production)		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that in the case in question undertaking TDR d.d. made business decision (termination of production) based on the freedom of undertaking.			
89	031-01/2005-01/98	28.11.2005	OTO KONSTEIN, Zagreb: request for opinion	Advocacy	water supply tarrifs		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency informed the Claimant that it has issued *Prohibited decision* in a separate administrative case, Class: UP/I 030-02/2004-01/66, based on the same facts (see case No 7).			

List of cases assessed by Croatian Competition Agency in 2005 in the field of antitrust - SUBSTANTIVE

No	Decision No	Date of decision	Title (including parties and sector)	Type: restrictive agreement (vertical/horizontal)/ abuse of dominance/ merger/advocacy	Description of practice or operation	Relevant market's) and approximate market shares	Notification/ complaint/ own initiative/ consultation of draft law	Decision: exemption/ negative clearance/ rejection of complaint/ prohibition (fines)/ approval (conditional)	Details of assessment	Fine	Appeal	Comments
90	031-01/2005-01/99	24.11.2005	PROKURIST WERNER GRITSCH, Klagenfurt, Austria: request for th interpretation of CA provisions	Advocacy	potential concentration in food industry (biscuits and snack products)		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that there is no obligation for parties to notify the concentration to the Agency, because treshold provisions were not fulfilled (Art 22 para 4 of CA).			
91	031-01/2005-01/100	2.12.2005	GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA - Commission for privatization of HT d.d., Zagreb: request for opinion on further privatization of undertaking HT d.d., Zagreb	Advocacy	telecommunication sector		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained that implementation of the second phase of the privatization it covered by the Public Announcmenet for the whole process of privatization, and therefore, for it's implementation it is not necesarry issuance of a new Public Announcement.			
92	031-01/2005-01/113	29.12.2005	LAW OFFICE "VERŠIĆ MARUŠIĆ", Zagreb: request for opinion	Advocacy	obligation to notify the concentration		Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency issued its opinion by which explained the provisions of CA which regulate issue of notification of concentrations (Art 22 CA).			
93	031-01/2005-01/21	28.12.2005	Project: TAXI TRANSPORTATION SERVICES IN CROATIA - REGULATION	Advocacy	concesions and prices	taxi services	Consultation of Law	Expert opinion	Agency stated in it's opinion that current legal framework is restritcting competition amont providers of this services and sugested a number of changes that should be done in legislation in order to achieve competition in this market.			

CROATIAN COMPETITION AGENCY; DIVISION FOR LEGAL AFFAIRS AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

*The Media Act, as lex specialis, stipulates the obligation for notification in *media sector* regardless to the threshold of the participants. Also, concentrations where participants acquire more than 40% of the market share upon concentration, are prohibited. Other criteria's for assessment of concentrations are those stipulated in CA. Any change of shareholder structure of electronic media publisher should be notified tol the Council of Electronic Media as well as to the Agency.